

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/680,265		BROUWER, FRANK	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Kevin Mew		2664	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kevin Mew. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Warren Burnam. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 24, 25, 26, 29, 32.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

1. To amend the abstract of the disclosure such that it does not exceed 150 words in length. The Applicant has agreed upon removing the last sentence of the abstract paragraph to satisfy the 150-word length limit requirement.
2. To amend claims 24, 25, 26, 29, 32 in order to correct the multiple-claim dependency problem. The Applicant has agreed upon amending the limitation "The method of claim 21, 22, 23, and 28" in each of claims 24, 25, 26, 29, 32 by "The method of claim 21, 22, 23, or 28" .